```
| Author: ReWolf
| e-mail: rewolf@rewolf.pl
| www : http://rewolf.pl
```

HACKER CHALLENGE 2008 Phase 1 Report

1. Background

This year **Hacker Challenge Phase 1** was pretty harder than the last year. It took me **5,5 hours** to remove all limits and reverse engineer the formula. Protected software contain two encrypted code blocks, few self-checks and few anti-debug tricks, all those nuisances can be easily defeated, what you will see during further reading of this report. To start the proper challenge you need to find the password, which is a bit complicated, because it looks like **SHA-256(password + 'salt')** and it is probably irreversible. Successfully patched program should draw graph of the three sinusoidal functions and generate file **data.out** identical to given **final.results**.

2. Attack Narrative

- Decrypting encrypted blocks

Encrypted blocks can be easily found in **IDA**, because encryption is done on the particular block of functions level. **IDA** will not recognize any functions in encrypted block and it will be marked as 'data'. Encrypted blocks of code are placed at:

- 0x00401180 0x00401DC0
- 0x00403930 0x00403F50



Illustration 1: IDA Navigation Graph (blue color - code; gray color - data)

Now we can search for code that reference those addresses. We should be here:

.text:00404029	push	offset WinMain@16	;	00403F50
.text:0040402E		eax, [ebp+var 44]		
.text:00404031		offset _windowProc		
.text:00404036				
.text:00404037				
.text:0040403C				
.text:00404041				

.text:00404046 push edi ; int

: 00402B60

As you can see, function <u>decryptCode</u> (function address 0x00402B60) takes three parameters:

void cdecl decryptCode(BYTE* key, BYTE* beginAddress, BYTE* endAddress);

Basically <u>decryptCode</u> is the **Rijndael** cipher implementation, we can recognize it be 'magic' values (tables) used to decipher data, or through the **PEiD** plugin called **Krypto ANALyzer** (KANAL). Key for the first buffer (0x00403930 - 0x00403F50) is constant, and 256 bits long:

00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F

Second buffer is encrypted with the key generated as a **SHA-256** hash calculated from the first buffer (before decryption) and it should be equal to (**256 bits long**):

 7C
 1B
 8C
 42
 6D
 98
 08
 15
 25
 7D
 43
 BD
 E4
 F8
 6F
 36

 58
 DE
 12
 80
 F0
 B5
 27
 D9
 50
 A7
 96
 C6
 BB
 ED
 95
 FA

After decryption IDA can recognize few more functions:

Function name	Segment	▼ Start	Length	R	F	L	S.	в	Т	=
🚮 sub_401000	.text	00401000	0000017C	R						
ecur_sub_401180	.text	00401180	000001DE	R	1.1	1.	1	В	Т	
esub_401360	.text	00401360	00000011	R	1.1	1.1	1	1.1		
anti	.text	00401380	00000044	R	1.1	1.	1	В		
Function_H?_	.text	004013D0	0000011B	R	1.1		1	В	Т	
Function_G?_	.text	004014F0	000001BE	R	1.1		1	В	Т	
* sub_4016B0	.text	004016B0	AA000000	R	1.1	1.	1	В	Т	
* sub_401760	.text	00401760	A800000	R	1.1		1	В	Т	
Function_F_	.text	004017F0	00000592	R	1.1	1.	1	В	Т	
Sub_401D90	.text	00401D90	0000002C	R	1.1	1.1	1	1.1	Т	
M sub_401DC0	.text	00401DC0	000004BF	R						
🎢 sub_402280	.text	00402280	000002F7	R				В		
🐂_rijandael	.text	00402580	000005DB	R						
🚡_decryptCode	.text	00402B60	000000A7	R					Т	
🔭 sub_402C10	.text	00402C10	00000027	R						
🔭 sub_402C40	.text	00402C40	00000497	R				В	Т	
m_initEncryptionBuffer	.text	004030E0	000000CA	R					Т	
🐂_hash	.text	004031B0	0000077C	R					Т	
windowProc	.text	00403930	0000006F	R	1.1	1.1	1	1.	Т	
sub_4039A0	.text	004039A0	000005A1	R				В		
🦙 WinMain(x,x,x,x)	.text	00403F50	00000272	R				В	Т	
🎢 operator delete(void *)	.text	004041D0	00000006	R					Т	
🍡 _except_handler3	.text	004041D6	00000006	R						
Malloca_probe	.text	004041E0	0000002B	R		L				
🎢 operator new(uint)	.text	0040420C	00000006	R					Т	
mftol2_sse	.text	00404220	000000AB	R		L				
🔭 memset	.text	004042CC	00000006	R					Т	
M memcpy	.text	004042D2	00000006	R					Т	
🐂allmul	.text	004042E0	00000034	R		L				
🛐tmainCRTStartup	.text	0040435F	000001DF	R		L	S	В		

Illustration 2: IDA Functions list window, selected functions placed in decrypted blocks.

- Removing Anti-Debug and Anti-Tamper Tricks

In this section I will describe all anti-debug and antitamper tricks that I found in the executable. I'll do it on the each function basis.

1. Function at 0x00401000:

This function calculates SHA-256 hash of the _WinMain@16 (0x00403F5, 0x280 bytes long) function. If hash is different than hash stored in executable, function will overwrite values in table at address 0x00408838. This table is used later in the H function (0x004013D0) to calculate final math formula. Original SHA-256 hash should be equal to:

B5 20 B3 11 78 A7 F1 C1 7D B7 EC 5F 04 9F DD 77 C4 A1 FD 0D 26 99 24 88 FA 5E 84 66 2F 7C 49 86

Solutions:

- Patch conditional jump (jle) at address 0x00401141 to unconditional jump
- Patch stored in executable hash to the new one. Code responsible for filling table with hash is placed at **0x0040101B**. I've used this solution.

2. Function at 0x00401380:

This function sets **SEH** handler and **Trap Flag**, under debugger **SEH** handler will not be called and function return 1 in **EAX**. **SEH** handler is responsible for setting **EAX** to **0**. This 'anti' is used in function G, if it will detect debugger it will modify (set to **0**) one of the arguments passed to **G** $(0 \times 004014F0)$.

Solution:

- Patch function at 0x00401380 to always return 0.

3. Function at 0x004013D0 (H function):

This function contain very tricky check. On each call it checks if one byte from the code section is equal to **0xCC** (int3, **breakpoint**). In fact it counts all occurrences of **0xCC** byte in the code section, if it is more than **0xE8** it will modify sign (fchs) of one of the **H function** arguments.

Solutions:

- Patch conditional jump (jbe) at address 0x00401413 to unconditional jump
- Don't do anything, this check only affects software breakpoints

(int3, 0xCC), so in final executable 0xCC counter will be ok. Under debugger we can use *Hardware Breakpoints*.

4. Function at 0x004017F0 (F function)

This function checks **DebugFlag** in **Process Environment Block** (**PEB**):

.text:00401902 mov eax, large fs:30h .text:00401908 movzx eax, byte ptr [eax+2] .text:0040190C and eax, OFFh .text:00401911 mov [ebp+64h+var 4], eax

Solution:

- Set DebugFlag in PEB to 0 or use OllyAdvanced PlugIn

5. Function at 0x00402280:

This functions contain two *anti-debug* checks. First is based on **int3** handler, if we have attached debugger, and we will pass **int3** handling to the application, everything will be ok, in other case **AES**(**rijndael**) will use **Encryption Tables** instead of **Decryption Tables**. Second check is based on **GetTickCount** function, if we have patched **GetTickCount** (to return constant value, or just increment on each execution), we will get error, because of too fast execution.

Solutions:

- pass **int3** to the application
- don't patch GetTickCount function
- trace over instead of tracing into this function

6. Function at 0x00403F50 (WinMain@16)

This function checks first byte of **SHA-256** hash generated from the first encrypted buffer (described in section '*Decrypting* encrypted blocks'), this byte should be equal to **0x7C**.

Solutions:

- patch comparison (cmp) at 0x00404016 with correct new value
- patch conditional jump (jz) at 0x00404019 to unconditional

- Defeating password protection

Defeating password protection was pretty confusing. I still don't have the proper password, but as long as it is **not the objective** I will not bother to find it. Password should be placed on the **first line** of the **data.in** file and it can be **up to 8 characters length.** 8 bytes password buffer is concatenated with string 'salt' and passed to SHA-256 function. Obvious solution is a SHA-256 12-chars brute-force, with four characters constant, but it would take to long to find out correct password. In fact I didn't checked if it is an original SHA-256, so I cannot claim that it is irreversible. Hashed password is compared to:

> 09 0A 89 6D 12 27 D0 03 75 0F A2 46 EF F0 2C 1E 92 33 2C 5C 6F FF 36 D8 74 2E 79 B9 E0 EB A0 A9

.text:004039B5	[ebp+var_28],	6D890A09h
.text:004039BC	[ebp+var_24],	3D02712h
.text:004039C3	[ebp+var 20],	46A20F75h
.text:004039CA	[ebp+var 1C],	1E2CF0EFh
.text:004039D1	[ebp+var 18],	5C2C3392h
.text:004039D8	[ebp+var 14],	0D836FF6Fh
.text:004039DF	[ebp+var 10],	0B9792E74h
.text:004039E6	[ebp+var C], (0A9A0EBE0h

I've changed it to:

B6 43 42 83 49 D7 8B 0B E7 B2 A4 51 75 DF 86 34 BA 40 C0 20 E0 7D 5D 77 B2 ED 5D 3D 1B 07 BA E5

.text:004039B5	[ebp+var_28], 834243B6h
.text:004039BC	[ebp+var_24], 0B8BD749h
.text:004039C3	[ebp+var_20], 51A4B2E7h
.text:004039CA	[ebp+var_1C], 3486DF75h
.text:004039D1	[ebp+var_18], 20C040BAh
.text:004039D8	[ebp+var_14], 775D7DE0h
.text:004039DF	[ebp+var_10], 3D5DEDB2h
.text:004039E6	[ebp+var_C], 0E5BA071Bh

So my password in **data.in** is already equal to 'password'.

- Reverse engineer the mathematical formula (Objective 1)

Objective 1 was the most time-consuming part this year. Locating function H() was pretty easy. At first I searched for function F(). My approach relied on searching all *logarithm* related FPU instructions in disassembly. I searched for phrase 'fyl' and I have found three places where FPU instruction fyl2x was used:

```
.text:00401162 fyl2x
```

.text:00401428 fyl2x

.text:00401DB3 fyl2x

First occurrence is used in one of the *anti-debug* routines, second occurrence is used by **H()** function, finally third occurrence is a place that we are looking for:

```
.text:00401D90 mov eax, [esp+arg_10]
.text:00401D94 fld [esp+arg_8]
.text:00401D98 push eax ; int
```

.text:00401D99	esp, 10h			
.text:00401D9C	[esp+14h+var_C]			
.text:00401DA0	[esp+14h+arg_0]			
.text:00401DA4	[esp+14h+var_14]			
.text:00401DA7		;	call	0x004017F0
.text:00401DAC				
.text:00401DAE	esp, 14h			
.text:00401DB1				
.text:00401DB5			dq 10	0.0
.text:00401DBB				

We can now check all functions called by **F()**, below is the simple graph of functions tree, it lacks all **API** calls from **MSVCP80.dll** and one call to *anti-debug* routine.



Illustration 3: Call-graph of functions related to mathematical formula.

As we can see on the graph, we have two candidates for function G(), first is at $0 \times 004014F0$ and second at 0×00401760 . We know that function G() have to iteratively call function H(), this condition eliminate function at 0×00401760 , because there is no loop inside this function. Final formula looks like this:

result = $((g_2)^{|p_3|}) * e^{(\ln(p_2 * p_2) * p_3 - d_1[p_3] - \ln(f_401180(p_3+p_1+g_1)))$

^ -> power
|a| -> abs(a)
ln -> natural logarithm

d1[] it is table with data

g1 = [**0x00405238**] -> dq 1.0 g2 = [**0x00405248**] -> dq -0.25

d1 = **0x00408838**

Above formula needs a few explanations. First of all, original formula is a bit different, instead of ln(p2 * p2) there is ln(2)*log2(p2 * p2). We can change base of the log2:

$\ln(2) * (\ln(p2 * p2) / \ln(2) = \ln(p2 * p2)$

This modification shouldn't affect final calculations. Rest of formula is pretty understandable and don't need further clarifications.

- Patch executable to remove some limits (Objective 2)

The easiest part of challenge, it takes only few minutes to patch all limits, removing self-checks is described in one of the previous chapters.

- The first value is a real number and is limited to a minimum of 2.0: comparison is done at 0x00403B17, we need to patch conditional jump (jp) at 0x00403B21 to unconditional jump.
- The second value is a real number and is limited to a maximum of 4.0: comparison is done at 0x00403B89, we need to patch conditional jump (jnz) at 0x00403B93 to unconditional jump.
- The third value is an integer and is limited to being less than 32: our value is anded with 0x1F (and eax, 1Fh) at address 0x00403BF8, we can just nop this instruction (0x90 0x90 0x90).
- The fourth value is an integer and is limited to a maximum of 1: comparison is done at 0x00403C32, we need to patch conditional jump (jle) at 0x00403C35 to unconditional jump.
- The fifth value is an integer and is limited to 16: comparison is done at 0x00403C86, we need to patch conditional jump (jle) at 0x00403C89 to unconditional jump.

All those informations we can get from the simple trace of function $0 \times 004039A0$, which is responsible for parsing data.in file.

3. Time to break

- Removing encryption 15 minutes
- Defeating anti-debug and anti-tamper tricks 45 minutes
- Searching password 30 minutes
- Reverse engineering mathematical formula 3,5 hours

- Removing limits - 20 minutes

- Overall time - 5h 20m

- 4. Tools used
- OllyDbg 1.10 + Olly Advanced PlugIn
- IDA Pro Advanced 5.3
- PEiD + Krypto ANALyzer PlugIn
- Notepad
- Totalcmd

5. Conclusions

This year hacker challenge phase 1 was really challenging, it doesn't mean that it was hard (but I'm still confused about few things in the mathematical formula). To get better protection authors should consider developing simple (well, maybe not so simple) obfuscator or code-morpher. It is always harder to reverse engineer obfuscated/morphed code. Executable should be encrypted multi-layer protector, with strong import table with some protection. I would also add more code to the target application just to confuse potential attacker. I really like self-checks used in the target application, but we saw similar tricks in the last year challenge, so it was rather easy to bypass them. Mathematical formula was pretty complicated this year, which is a big plus. I spent 5,5 hours to get all things working, so my final evaluation of the difficulty is medium.